arXiv:1304.7141v1 [math.AP] 26 Apr 2013

Nonlinear perturbations of a $p(x)$-Laplacian equation with critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Claudianor O. Alves* and Marcelo C. Ferreira †
Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática e Estatística
CEP:58429-900, Campina Grande - PB, Brazil.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 35A15, 35H30, 35B33.

Keywords: Variational Methods, $p(x)$-Laplacian, Critical Growth .

Abstract

We prove the existence of solution for a class of $p(x)$-Laplacian equations where the nonlinearity has a critical growth. Here, we consider two cases: the first case involves the situation where the variable exponents are periodic functions. The second one involves the case where the variable exponents are nonperiodic perturbations.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence of solution for the following class of equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)+\sigma(x)}u + (V(x)-W(x))|u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)-2}u = f(x,u) \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \geq 0, \ u \neq 0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p(x)+\sigma(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big), \end{cases} \tag{P}$$

where $\Delta_{p(x)+\sigma(x)}$ is the $(p(x)+\sigma(x))$-Laplacian operator given by

$$\Delta_{p(x)+\sigma(x)}u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)-2}\nabla u),$$

---

[*] C.O. Alves was partially supported by INCT-MAT, PROCAD, CNPq/Brazil 620150/2008-4 and 303080/2009-4, e-mail:coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br

[†] e-mail:marcelo@dme.ufcg.edu.br

$f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function given by

$$f(x,t) = \mu |t|^{q(x)-\tau(x)-2}t + |t|^{p^*(x)-2}t,$$

$\mu > 0$ is a positive parameter, $p, \sigma : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, +\infty)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions and $V, W, q, \tau : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions verifying the following conditions:

The functions p, q and V are $\mathbb{Z}^N$-periodic, that is

$$p(x+y) = p(x),\ q(x+y) = q(x),\ V(x+y) = V(x)\ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ \text{ and } \ \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^N. \tag{H_0}$$

Moreover, we assume also that

$$1 < p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ < N \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{H_1}$$

$$p_+ < q_- \leq q(x) \ll p^*(x) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{H_2}$$

There are $R > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $B_R(z) \subset (0,1)^N$ such that

$$\sigma(x), \tau(x) = 0 \ \ \forall x \in \overline{B}^c_R(z). \tag{H_3}$$

There are $m \in (1, N)$ and $R_1 > R$ with $B_{R_1}(z) \subset (0,1)^N$ such that

$$p(x) = m \ \ \forall x \in B_{R_1}(z). \tag{H_4}$$

$$1 < (p+\sigma)_- \leq (p+\sigma)(x) \leq (p+\sigma)_+ < N \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{H_5}$$

$$(p+\sigma)_+ < (q-\tau)_- \leq (q-\tau)(x) \ll (p+\sigma)^*(x) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{H_6}$$

$$W(x) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ |x| \to +\infty. \tag{W_0}$$

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = V_0 > 0. \tag{V_0}$$

and

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - W(x)) = U_0 > 0. \tag{WV_0}$$

Here, the notation $h \ll g$ means that $\inf\limits_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} (g(x) - h(x)) > 0$, $h_- = \operatorname{ess}\inf\limits_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} h(x)$, $h_+ = \operatorname{ess}\sup\limits_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} h(x)$ and $h^*(x) = \frac{Nh(x)}{N-h(x)}$.

The study of problems with variable exponents has received a special attention at the last years, because this class of problems appears in various mathematical models, such as,

- *Electrorheological fluids:* see Acerbi & Mingione [6, 7], Antontsev & Rodrigues [8] and Ruzicka [26],

- *Nonlinear Darcy law in porous medium:* see Antontsev & Shmarev [9, 10]

- *Image Processing:* see Chambolle & Lions [11] and Chen, Levine & Rao [12].

Motivated by the presence of variable exponents in the applications above and after Kovacik & Rákosník have shown some properties of the spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$ in [27], a lot of research has been done concerning these kinds of problems, see, for example, Alves [1, 2], Alves & Souto [3], Fan [16], Fu & Zhang [13], Fan & Han [19], Kristály, Radulescu & Varga [20], Mihailescu & Radulescu [21] and references therein.

In [4], Alves, Carrião & Miyagaki have considered the existence of solution for problem (P), for a case where the exponents p and q are constants and $\sigma = \tau = 0$. More precisely, in [4], the following problem was studied

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + (V(x) - W(x))u = \mu u^{q-1} + u^{2^*-1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \tag{P_1}$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a positive parameter, $q \in (2, 2^*)$ and $W \in L^{\frac{N}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In that paper, the authors used variational methods combined with a well known result due to Lions [23] and showed the existence of solutions for all $\mu > 0$. An important point in that work is the fact that the continuous embedding $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has a best Sobolev constant, denoted by S, which is assumed by a special class of functions. When the function p is not constant, we do not have this information, and thus new arguments and estimates are necessary.

In [16], Fan has considered a class of nonperiodic perturbations like problem (P), however in that paper the nonlinearity has a subcritical growth.

More precisely, the problem studied was the following

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} -div(|\nabla u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)-2}\nabla u) + a(x)|u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)-2}u = |u|^{-\tau(x)}f(x,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \geq 0, \ u \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{array}\right. \tag{P_2}$$

where $p, q, a, \sigma, \tau : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions with p and q being $\mathbb{Z}^N$-periodic functions and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ being a continuous function with subcritical growth. The main tool used was the variational method, more precisely, some characterizations of the mountain pass corresponding to the energy functional associated with problem (P_2).

Motivated by papers [4] and [16], we will show the existence of solution for problem (P) by using the variational method. Here, we look for critical points of the energy functional associated with (P) given by

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(p(x)+\sigma(x))}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)} + (V(x)-W(x))|u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)}\right) - \Psi(u) - J(u)$$

where

$$\Psi(u) = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(q(x)-\tau(x))}|u|^{q(x)-\tau(x)} \text{ and } J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p^*(x)}|u|^{p^*(x)},$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p(x)+\sigma(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Hereafter, we will consider the following norm in $W^{1,p(x)+\sigma(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$

$$\|u\| = \inf\left\{\alpha > 0;\ \rho\big(\alpha^{-1}u\big) \leq 1\right\},$$

where

$$\rho(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)} + (V(x)-W(x))|u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)}).$$

Using well known arguments, we have that $I \in C^1\Big(W^{1,p(x)+\sigma(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big), \mathbb{R}\Big)$ with

$$I'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)-2}\nabla u \nabla v + (V(x)-W(x))|u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)-2}uv) - \Psi'(u)v - J'(u)v,$$

where

$$\Psi'(u)v = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q(x)-\tau(x)-2}uv \text{ and } J'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*(x)-2}uv,$$

for all $u, v \in W^{1,p(x)+\sigma(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Our main result is the following:

T1 **Theorem 1.1** *Assume* $(H_0) - (H_6), (V_0), (W_0)$ *and* (WV_0). *Then, there is* $\mu^* > 0$, *such that problem* (P) *has a nonnegative ground-state solution for all* $\mu \geq \mu^*$.

In what follows, we mean that a solution u of (P) is a *ground-state solution*, if it is a least energy solution, that is, if for any nontrivial solution v of (P), we have that $I(u) \leq I(v)$.

Notation: The following notations will be used in the present work:

- C and C_i will denote generic positive constant, which may vary from line to line.

- In all the integrals we omit the symbol dx.

2 The periodic problem

In this section, we study the existence of a ground-state solution for the periodic problem related to (P) given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u + V(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = \mu|u|^{q(x)-2}u + |u|^{p^*(x)-2}u \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big), u \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{P_∞}$$

Our goal in this section is to prove the following result

T2 **Theorem 2.1** *Assume* $(H_0) - (H_2)$ *and* (V_0). *Then, there is* $\mu_\infty > 0$, *such that problem* (P_∞) *has a nonnegative ground-state solution for all* $\mu \geq \mu_\infty$.

The energy functional $I_\infty : W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big) \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with (P_∞) is given by

$$I_\infty(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u|^{p(x)}\right) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p^*(x)}|u|^{p^*(x)}.$$

A direct computation shows that $I_\infty \in C^1(W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$I'_\infty(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}uv) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q(x)-2}uv$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*(x)-2}uv,$$

for all $u, v \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Using standard arguments, it is easy to prove that I_∞ satisfies the mountain pass geometry, this way, there exists $(u_n) \subset W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$ verifying

$$I_\infty(u_n) \to c_\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad I'_\infty(u_n) \to 0, \tag{2.1}$$

`NIVELZ`

where

$$c_\infty = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\infty(\gamma(t)),$$

and

$$\Gamma = \left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)\right); \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } I_\infty(\gamma(1)) \leq 0\right\}.$$

The level c_∞ is called the mountain pass level of the functional I_∞. An important point that we would like to mention is the fact that

$$c_\infty \to 0 \;\text{ as }\; \mu \to +\infty \;\text{ (see [1]).} \tag{2.2}$$

`LIMITEDONIVEL`

Using the above information, in the present paper, we fix $\mu_\infty > 0$ such that

$$c_\infty < \min\left\{\theta\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \frac{1}{2K^{p_+}}\nu\right\} \;\; \forall \mu \geq \mu_\infty, \tag{2.3}$$

`ESTIMATIVAPAS`

where

$$\theta = 1/p_+ - 1/p^*_-, \;\; \nu = 1/p_+ - 1/q_-, \tag{2.4}$$

`theta`

and $K \geq 1$ is fixed satisfying

$$|u|_{p^*(x)} \leq K\|u\|, \;\; \forall u \in W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big).$$

Next, we will make a brief review about the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

2.1 Variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces

In this subsection, we recall some results on variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces found in [15, 18] and their references.

Let $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $h_- > 1$. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R} \,\middle|\, u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{h(x)} < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$|u|_{h(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \,\middle|\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|\frac{u}{\lambda}\right|^{h(x)} \le 1 \right\}.$$

The variable exponent Sobolev space is defined by

$$W^{1,h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \,\middle|\, |\nabla u| \in L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,h(x)} = |u|_{h(x)} + |\nabla u|_{h(x)}.$$

When $M \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $M_- > 0$, the norm

$$\|u\| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \,\middle|\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\left|\frac{\nabla u}{\lambda}\right|^{h(x)} + M(x) \left|\frac{u}{\lambda}\right|^{h(x)} \right) \le 1 \right\} \tag{2.5}$$ n

is equivalent to norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,h(x)}$. With these norms, the spaces $L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $W^{1,h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are reflexive and separable Banach spaces.

p1 **Proposition 2.2** *The functional $\zeta : W^{1,h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$\zeta(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^{h(x)} + M(x)\, |u|^{h(x)} \right), \tag{2.6}$$ ps

has the following properties:

(i) *If $\|u\| \ge 1$, then $\|u\|^{h_-} \le \zeta(u) \le \|u\|^{h_+}$.*

(ii) *If $\|u\| \le 1$, then $\|u\|^{h_+} \le \zeta(u) \le \|u\|^{h_-}$.*

In particular, $\zeta(u) = 1$ if, and only if, $\|u\| = 1$ and, for $(u_n) \subset W^{1,h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\|u_n\| \to 0$ if, and only if, $\zeta(u_n) \to 0$.

r1 **Remark 2.3** *For the functional* $\xi : L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ *given by*

$$\xi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{h(x)},$$

the conclusion of Proposition 2.2 also holds, for example, if $(u_n) \subset L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|u_n|_{h(x)} \to 0$ *if, and only if* $\xi(u_n) \to 0$. *Moreover, from (i) and (ii),*

$$|u|_{h(x)} \leq \max\left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{h(x)} \right)^{1/h_-}, \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{h(x)} \right)^{1/h_+} \right\}. \tag{2.7}$$ in

Related to the Lebesgue space $L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have the following generalized Hölder's inequality.

h **Proposition 2.4 ([25, p.9])** *For* $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *with* $h_- > 1$, *let* $h' : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ *be such that*

$$\frac{1}{h(x)} + \frac{1}{h'(x)} = 1, \qquad a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then, for any $u \in L^{h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *and* $v \in L^{h'(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{h_-} + \frac{1}{h'_-} \right) |u|_{h(x)} |v|_{h'(x)}. \tag{2.8}$$ hi

pp1 **Proposition 2.5 ([18, Theorems 1.1, 1.3])** *Let* $h : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a Lipschitz continuous satisfying* $1 < h_- \leq h_+ < N$ *and* $t : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a measurable function.*

(i) *If* $h \leq t \leq h^*$, *the embedding* $W^{1,h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{t(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is continuous.*

(ii) *If* $h \leq t \ll h^*$, *the embedding* $W^{1,h(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{t(x)}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is compact.*

2.2 Preliminary results

aew convergence **Lemma 2.6** *Let* (v_n) *be a* $(PS)_d$ *sequence for* I_∞ *and* $v \in W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$ *such that*

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v.$$

Then,

$$I'_\infty(v) = 0.$$

Thus, if $v \neq 0$, v *is a nontrivial solution of* (P_∞).

Proof. Following a standard reasoning, it is sufficient to show that, up to a subsequence ,

$$\nabla v_n(x) \to \nabla v(x) \text{ a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We begin observing that, up to a subsequence, there exist nonnegative measures $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$ such that

$$|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} \rightharpoonup \mathfrak{m} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big) \qquad (2.9) \quad \boxed{\texttt{MED1}}$$

and

$$|v_n|^{p^*(x)} \rightharpoonup \mathfrak{n} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big). \qquad (2.10) \quad \boxed{\texttt{MED2}}$$

By using a concentration compactness principle found in [13], there exists a countable index set $\mathfrak{J}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{n} = |v|^{p^*(x)}\, dx + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{J}} \mathfrak{n}_i \delta_{x_i},$$

$$\mathfrak{m} \geq |\nabla v|^{p(x)}\, dx + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{J}} \mathfrak{m}_i \delta_{x_i},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{n}_i \leq S \max\left\{ \mathfrak{m}_i^{\frac{p_+^*}{p_-}}, \mathfrak{m}_i^{\frac{p_-^*}{p_+}} \right\}$$

where $(\mathfrak{n}_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{J}}, (\mathfrak{m}_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{J}} \subset [0, \infty)$ and $(x_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{J}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The constant S is given by

$$S = \sup_{\substack{u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ \|u\| \leq 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*(x)}.$$

Our first task is to prove that

$$\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{i}, \, \forall i \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

For this, let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = 1 \text{ in } B_1(0), \; \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ in } B_2^c(0) \text{ and } 0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1 \, \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Fixed $i \in \mathfrak{J}$, we consider for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x - x_i}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Since (v_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$, the sequence $(\varphi_\epsilon v_n)$ is also bounded in $W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$. Thus,

$$I'_\infty(v_n)(\varphi_\epsilon v_n) = o_n(1),$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varphi_\epsilon |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + v_n |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \nabla \varphi_\epsilon) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}\varphi_\epsilon \\ = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{q(x)}\varphi_\epsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)}\varphi_\epsilon + o_n(1).\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, the weak convergence of $(|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)})$ and $(|v_n|^{p^*(x)})$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ combined with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon \, d\mathfrak{m} + \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \nabla \varphi_\epsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|v|^{p(x)}\varphi_\epsilon \\ = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{q(x)}\varphi_\epsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\epsilon \, d\mathfrak{n}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

`epsilon limit`

Using Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of (v_n) in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_\epsilon\right| \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-1} |v_n \nabla \varphi_\epsilon| \le C \left| |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-1} \right|_{p'(x)} \big| v_n \, |\nabla \varphi_\epsilon| \, \big|_{p(x)} \\ &\le C \max\left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p(x)} \, |\nabla \varphi_\epsilon|^{p(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}}, \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p(x)} \, |\nabla \varphi_\epsilon|^{p(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $p'(x) = \frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}$ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Therefore, by Sobolev embedding

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_n \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \varphi_\epsilon\right| \\ &\qquad \le C \max\left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p(x)} \, |\nabla \varphi_\epsilon|^{p(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}}, \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p(x)} \, |\nabla \varphi_\epsilon|^{p(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p(x)} \left|\nabla\varphi_\epsilon\right|^{p(x)} \leq C \left| |v|^{p(x)} \right|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-p(x)}}\left(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)\right)} \left| \left|\nabla\varphi_\epsilon\right|^{p(x)} \right|_{L^{\frac{N}{p(x)}}\left(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)\right)}.$$

Once that

$$\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} \left|\nabla\varphi_\epsilon\right|^N = \int_{B_2(0)} \left|\nabla\varphi\right|^N,$$

we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \left|\nabla\varphi_\epsilon\right|^{p(x)} \right|_{L^{\frac{N}{p(x)}}\left(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)\right)} \\
&\qquad \leq \max\left\{ \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} \left|\nabla\varphi_\epsilon\right|^N\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{N}{p}\right)_-}}, \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} \left|\nabla\varphi_\epsilon\right|^N\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{N}{p}\right)_+}} \right\} \leq C
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C, which is independent of ϵ. Thereby,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p(x)} \left|\nabla\varphi_\epsilon\right|^{p(x)} \leq C \left| |v|^{p(x)} \right|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-p(x)}}\left(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)\right)},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_n \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla\varphi_\epsilon \right| \\
&\qquad \leq C \max\left\{ \left| |v|^{p(x)} \right|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-p(x)}}\left(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)\right)}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}, \left| |v|^{p(x)} \right|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-p(x)}}\left(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)\right)}^{\frac{1}{p_+}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| |v|^{p(x)} \right|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-p(x)}}\left(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)\right)} \\
&\qquad \leq \max\left\{ \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |v|^{p^*(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{N}{N-p}\right)_-}}, \left(\int_{B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)} |v|^{p^*(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{N}{N-p}\right)_+}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

from where it follows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_n \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n \nabla\varphi_\epsilon \right| = 0$$

implying that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v_n\nabla\varphi_\epsilon = 0.$$

Now, taking the limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ in (2.11), we get

$$\mathfrak{m}_i = \mathfrak{m}(x_i) = \mathfrak{n}(x_i) = \mathfrak{n}_i. \tag{2.12}$$

`m_i = n_i`

Once that

$$\frac{p_-^*}{p_+} \leq \frac{p_+^*}{p_-},$$

we have that

$$\mathfrak{n}_i^{\frac{p_+}{p_-^*}} \leq \left(S^{\frac{p_+}{p_-^*}} + S^{\frac{p_-}{p_+^*}}\right)\mathfrak{m}_i, \text{ if } \mathfrak{m}_i < 1 \tag{2.13}$$

`eta1`

and

$$\mathfrak{n}_i^{\frac{p_-}{p_+^*}} \leq \left(S^{\frac{p_+}{p_-^*}} + S^{\frac{p_-}{p_+^*}}\right)\mathfrak{m}_i \text{ if } \mathfrak{m}_i \geq 1. \tag{2.14}$$

`eta2`

Thus, from (2.12) - (2.14), if $\mathfrak{n}_i > 0$ for some $i \in \mathfrak{I}$, there exists $\alpha > 0$, which is independent of i, such that

$$\mathfrak{n}_i \geq \alpha. \tag{2.15}$$

`eta3`

Recalling that

$$\sum_{\substack{i\in\mathfrak{I}\\ \mathfrak{m}_i<1}} \mathfrak{n}_i^{\frac{p_+}{p_-^*}} + \sum_{\substack{i\in\mathfrak{I}\\ \mathfrak{m}_i\geq 1}} \mathfrak{n}_i^{\frac{p_-}{p_+^*}} \leq C\sum_{i\in\mathfrak{I}}\mathfrak{m}_i < \infty, \tag{2.16}$$

`n_i sum`

the inequalities (2.15)- (2.16) give $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}} = \{i \in \mathfrak{I};\, \mathfrak{n}_i > 0\}$ is a finite set. From this, one of the two possibilities below occurs:

$a)$ There exists $\mathfrak{n}_{i_1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{n}_{i_s} > 0$ for a maximal $s \in \mathbb{N}$;

$b)$ $\mathfrak{n}_i = 0$, for all $i \in \mathfrak{I}$.

We begin analyzing $a)$. For this, fix $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$ sufficiently small such that

$$B_{\epsilon_0}(x_1), \cdots, B_{\epsilon_0}(x_s) \subset B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon_0}}(0) \text{ and } B_{\epsilon_0}(x_i) \cap B_{\epsilon_0}(x_j) = \emptyset,\ i \neq j,$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_s$ are the singular points related to $\mathfrak{n}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_{i_s}$, respectively. Setting

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) = \varphi(\epsilon x) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \varphi\left(\frac{x - x_i}{\epsilon}\right) \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we have for $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0$,

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{s} B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(x_i) \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in A_\epsilon = B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(0) \setminus \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{s} B_{2\epsilon}(x_i), \end{cases}$$

from where it follows that

$$\operatorname{supp} \psi_\epsilon \subset \overline{B_{\frac{2}{\epsilon}}(0)} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(x_i)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)} \psi_\epsilon \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p^*(x)} \psi_\epsilon.$$

Since

$$I'_\infty(v_n)(v_n \psi_\epsilon) = o_n(1) \text{ and } I'_\infty(v_n)(v \psi_\epsilon) = o_n(1),$$

repeating the same type of arguments for the case where the exponents are constant, we obtain

$$\lim_n \int_{A_\epsilon} (P_n + V(x) Q_n) = 0,$$

where

$$P_n(x) = \left(|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v\right) \left(\nabla v_n - \nabla v\right) \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and

$$Q_n(x) = \left(|v_n|^{p(x)-2} v_n - |v|^{p(x)-2} v\right) \left(v_n - v\right) \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Once that

$$P_n(x) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{2^{3-p_+}}{p_+} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{p(x)}, \text{ if } p(x) \geq 2 \\ (p_- - 1) \frac{|\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^2}{(|\nabla v_n| + |\nabla v|)^{2-p(x)}}, \text{ if } 1 < p(x) < 2, \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

`P_n inequality`

we see that

$$\int_{A_\epsilon} P_n \, dx \geq C \int_{A_\epsilon \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\, p(x) \geq 2\}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{p(x)} \geq 0.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_n \int_{A_\epsilon \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\, p(x) \geq 2\}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{p(x)} = 0. \qquad (2.18) \quad \boxed{\texttt{P1}}$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A_\epsilon \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\, 1 < p(x) < 2\}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{p(x)} \\ &\quad \leq C \left| \frac{|\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{p(x)}}{\big(|\nabla v_n| + |\nabla v| \big)^{\frac{p(x)(2-p(x))}{2}}} \right|_{L^{\frac{2}{p(x)}}(\tilde{A}_\epsilon)} \left| \big(|\nabla v_n| + |\nabla v| \big)^{\frac{p(x)(2-p(x))}{2}} \right|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p(x)}}(\tilde{A}_\epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{A}_\epsilon = A_\epsilon \cap \big\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\, 1 < p(x) < 2 \big\}$. From relation (2.17), the right side of above inequality goes to zero. Hence,

$$\lim_n \int_{A_\epsilon \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\, 1 < p(x) < 2\}} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{p(x)} = 0. \qquad (2.19) \quad \boxed{\texttt{P2}}$$

Now, (2.18) combined with (2.19) gives

$$\lim_n \int_{A_\epsilon} |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^{p(x)} = 0.$$

The same arguments can be used to prove that

$$\lim_n \int_{A_\epsilon} V(x) \, |v_n - v|^{p(x)} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$v_n \to v \ \text{ in } \ W^{1,p(x)}(A_\epsilon).$$

The last limit yields, up to a subsequence,

$$\nabla v_n(x) \to \nabla v(x) \quad \text{a.e in} \quad A_\epsilon \ \ (0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0).$$

Observing that

$$\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s\} = \bigcup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0}} A_{\frac{1}{n}},$$

we conclude by a diagonal argument, that there is a subsequence of (v_n), still denoted by itself, such that

$$\nabla v_n(x) \to \nabla v(x) \text{ a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

For the case $b)$, we consider

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) = \varphi(\epsilon x) \;\; \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } A_\epsilon = B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(0), \;\; \epsilon > 0.$$

Repeating the same arguments used in the case $a)$, we have that

$$v_n \to v \text{ in } W^{1,p(x)}(B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(0)), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \tag{2.20}$$

limite

This way, there is again a subsequence of (v_n), still denoted by itself, such that

$$\nabla v_n(x) \to \nabla v(x) \quad \text{a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Furthermore, from (2.20),

$$v_n \to v \text{ in } W^{1,p(x)}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

. ■

ESTBAIXO **Corollary 2.7** *Let (v_n) be a $(PS)_d$ sequence for I_∞ with*

$$d < \beta = \theta\alpha,$$

where θ and α were given in (2.4) and (2.15) respectively. If $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then

$$v_n \to v \text{ in } W^{1,p(x)}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Proof. Once that $d \in (-\infty, \beta)$, we claim that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{I}} = \{i \in \mathfrak{I};\, \mathfrak{n}_i > 0\} = \emptyset.$$

In fact, arguing by contradiction that $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}} \neq \emptyset$, there exists $i \in \mathfrak{I}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{n}_i \geq \alpha,$$

where α was given in (2.15). Using the fact that (v_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have that $I'(v_n)v_n = o_n(1)$. Then,

$$d + o_n(1) = I_\infty(v_n) - \frac{1}{p_+} I'_\infty(v_n)v_n \geq \theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)}.$$

Letting the limit of $n \to +\infty$ in the last inequality and using (2.10), we get

$$d \geq \theta \mathfrak{n}_i \geq \theta \alpha = \beta,$$

which is an absurd. ■

`NOVOPASSO` **Corollary 2.8** *There is $\tilde{\mu} > 0$ such that if $\mu \geq \tilde{\mu}$, then the $(PS)_{c_\infty}$ sequence (u_n) given in* (2.1) *verifies*

$$u_n \to u \ \ in \ \ W^{1,p(x)}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where u is the weak limit of (u_n) in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Using (2.2), there is $\tilde{\mu} > 0$ such that

$$c_\infty < \beta, \ \ \forall \mu \geq \tilde{\mu}.$$

Now, the corollary follows applying the Corollary 2.7. ■

`modular inequality` **Lemma 2.9** *Let (v_n) be a $(PS)_d$ sequence for I_∞ with*

$$d < \frac{1}{2K^{p_+}}\nu.$$

Then, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)} \right)^{1/p^*_-} \leq K \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) \right)^{1/p_+} \ \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Proof. Basically, we have to prove that

$$|v_n|_{p^*(x)}, \ \|v_n\| \leq 1, \ \forall n \geq n_0. \tag{2.21}$$ `EST1`

If the above inequality holds,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)} \leq |v_n|^{p^*_-}_{p^*(x)} \ \ \forall n \geq n_0$$

and

$$\|v_n\|^{p_+} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) \ \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Thus, for all $n \geq n_0$

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)}\right)^{1/p^*_-} \leq |v_n|_{p^*(x)} \leq K\|v_n\| \leq K\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}\right)^{1/p_+}.$$

Now, we will show that (2.21) holds. To this end, we begin recalling that

$$I_\infty(v_n) - \frac{1}{q_-}I'_\infty(v_n)v_n = d + o_n(1).$$

Therefore,

$$d + o_n(1) \geq \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)})$$

leading to

$$\frac{1}{\nu}d + o_n(1) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}).$$

By the hypothesis on d,

$$\limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) \leq \frac{1}{2K^{p_+}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2K^{p_+}} < \frac{1}{K^{p_+}},$$

there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) \leq \frac{1}{K^{p_+}} \leq 1 \ \ \forall n \geq n_0,$$

from where it follows that

$$\|v_n\| \leq 1 \ \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Thereby,

$$\|v_n\|^{p_+} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) \ \ \forall n \geq n_0,$$

implying that

$$\|v_n\| \leq \frac{1}{K} < 1 \ \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Once that

$$|v_n|_{p^*(x)} \leq K\|v_n\| \ \ \forall n,$$

it follows that

$$|v_n|_{p^*(x)} \leq 1 \ \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

■

`(PS)_d behaviour` **Lemma 2.10** *Let* (v_n) *be a* $(PS)_d$ *sequence for* I_∞ *with*

$$d < \min\left\{\theta\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \frac{1}{2K^{p_+}}\nu\right\}.$$

Then, up to a subsequence,

(a) $\quad v_n \to 0$ *in* $W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$*, or*

(b) *There are* R*,* $\eta > 0$ *and* $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *such that*

$$\limsup_n \int_{B_R(y_n)} |v_n|^{p(x)} \geq \eta.$$

Proof. Up to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) \to L \geq 0.$$

If (b) does not hold, there is $R > 0$ such that

$$\lim_n \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |v_n|^{p(x)} = 0.$$

Since (v_n) is bounded, by Lemma 3.1 in [14],

$$v_n \to 0 \text{ in } L^{q(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{q(x)} \to 0. \tag{2.22}$$

`L1`

Our goal is to prove that $L = 0$, because if this occurs, we get (a). Suppose by contradiction that $L > 0$. Since $I'_\infty(v_n)v_n = o_n(1)$, we derive that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)} \to L.$$

By (2.22),

$$\begin{aligned} d + o_n(1) &= I_\infty(v_n) + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{q(x)} |v_n|^{q(x)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} \left(|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p^*(x)} |v_n|^{p^*(x)} \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$d + o_n(1) \geq \frac{1}{p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) - \frac{1}{p_-^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)}.$$

Taking the limit of $n \to +\infty$ in the last inequality,

$$d \geq \frac{1}{p_+} L - \frac{1}{p_-^*} L = \theta L. \qquad (2.23) \quad \boxed{\texttt{relation3}}$$

On the other hand, using the fact that

$$d < \frac{1}{2K^{p_+}} \nu,$$

it follows from Lemma 2.9 that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)} \right)^{1/p_-^*} \leq K \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)} \right)^{1/p_+}, \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Taking the limit of $n \to +\infty$, we derive

$$L^{1/p_-^*} \leq K L^{1/p_+}$$

or equivalently

$$L \geq \left(\frac{1}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}. \qquad (2.24) \quad \boxed{\texttt{relation4}}$$

Combining (2.23) with (2.24),

$$d \geq \theta L \geq \theta \left(\frac{1}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}},$$

which is a contradiction, showing that $L = 0$. ■

2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Consider the $(PS)_{c_\infty}$ sequence (u_n) for I_∞ given in (2.1) and $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Provided that $u \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.6, we have a solution for (P_∞). Now, if $u = 0$, we need to work a little more. We recall that there is $\mu \geq \mu_\infty$ such that

$$0 < c_\infty < \min\left\{\theta\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \frac{1}{2K^{p_+}}\nu\right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.10, we know that (b) holds, that is, there exist R, $\eta > 0$ and $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\limsup_n \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^{p(x)} \geq \eta.$$

Hereafter, without lost of generality, we assume that $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ and define

$$\widetilde{u_n}(x) = u_n(x + y_n) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

A straightforward calculus gives

$$I_\infty(\widetilde{u_n}) = I_\infty(u_n) \text{ and } I'_\infty(\widetilde{u_n}) \to 0,$$

showing that $(\widetilde{u_n})$ is also a $(PS)_{c_\infty}$ sequence for I_∞. In what follows, we denote by $\widetilde{u} \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the weak limit of $(\widetilde{u_n})$. Once that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |\widetilde{u_n}|^{p(x)} = \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^{p(x)}$$

and

$$W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big) \overset{compactly}{\hookrightarrow} L^{p(x)}\big(B_R(0)\big),$$

it follows that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |\widetilde{u}|^{p(x)} \geq \eta > 0,$$

implying that $\widetilde{u} \neq 0$. Thereby, by Lemma 2.6, $\widetilde{u}$ is a solution for (P_∞).

In the sequel, $u_\infty \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the solution found by the above arguments. Moreover, let us denote by $\mathcal{N}_\infty$ the Nehari manifold associated with I_∞ given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\infty = \left\{u \in W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big) \setminus \{0\};\ I'_\infty(u)u = 0\right\}.$$

Our goal is to show that u_∞ is a *ground state solution*, that is,

$$I_\infty(u_\infty) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty} I_\infty(u).$$

Moreover, we will prove that u_∞ can be chosen as a nonnegative solution.

Proposition 2.11 *For all $u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$, we have that $I_\infty(u) > 0$ and*

$$0 < J_\infty = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty} I_\infty(u).$$

Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u|^{p(x)}) = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q(x)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*(x)}.$$

This way,

$$I_\infty(u) \geq \mu\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q(x)} + \theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*(x)} > 0.$$

Now, arguing by contradiction, if $J_\infty = 0$, there exists $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\infty$ such that

$$I_\infty(v_n) \to 0. \qquad (2.25) \quad \boxed{\texttt{N0}}$$

Since $v_n \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$, by the last inequality

$$I_\infty(v_n) \geq \mu\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{q(x)} + \theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)}. \qquad (2.26) \quad \boxed{\texttt{N2}}$$

Using (2.25) together with (2.26), we derive the limits

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{q(x)}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*(x)} \to 0$$

which lead to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|v_n|^{p(x)}) \to 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\|v_n\| \to 0.$$

On the other hand, by Sobolev embedding, there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ verifying

$$|u|_{q(x)} \leq C_1\|u\| \text{ and } |u|_{p^*(x)} \leq C_2\|u\| \ \forall u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Consequently, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|v_n\|, |v_n|_{q(x)}, |v_n|_{p^*(x)} \leq 1 \ \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

The last inequalities together with the fact that $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\infty$ give

$$\|v_n\|^{p_+} \leq \mu |v_n|_{q(x)}^{q_-} + |v_n|_{p^*(x)}^{p^*_-} \leq \mu C_3 \|v_n\|^{q_-} + C_4 \|v_n\|^{p^*_-}, \, \forall n \geq n_0,$$

for some positive constants C_3 and C_4. Thus,

$$1 \leq \mu C_3 \|v_n\|^{q_- - p_+} + C_4 \|v_n\|^{p^*_- - p_+}, \, \forall n \geq n_0,$$

obtaining a contradiction, because $\|v_n\| \to 0$. Then, $J_\infty > 0$. ■

Corollary 2.12 *Any ground state solution u to (P_∞) has a well defined sign, that is, $u \geq 0$ or $u \leq 0$.*

Proof. Using the fact that u is a solution, if follows that

$$I'_\infty(u^\pm)u^\pm = 0,$$

where $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$ and $u^- = \min\{u, 0\}$. Thus, if $u^\pm \neq 0$, we see that $u^\pm \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$, and so,

$$J_\infty = I_\infty(u) = I_\infty(u^+) + I_\infty(u^-) \geq 2J_\infty,$$

which is an absurd. Therefore, $u^- = 0$ or $u^+ = 0$. ■

`intersection property` **Proposition 2.13** *Let $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that*

$$t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same arguments explored in [16]. ■

`Nehari Infimum` **Proposition 2.14** *The mountain pass level c_∞ satisfies*

$$c_\infty = J_\infty = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty} I_\infty(u).$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$ and choose $t_0 > 0$ such that $u_0 = t_0 u$ satisfies $I_\infty(u_0) < 0$. Then

$$\gamma_0(t) = tu_0, \;\; \forall t \in [0,1],$$

belongs to Γ. Hence,

$$c_\infty \leq \max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\infty(\gamma_0(t)) = \max_{s\in[0,t_0]} I_\infty(su) \leq \max_{s\geq 0} I_\infty(su) = I_\infty(u).$$

Thereby,

$$c_\infty \leq J_\infty. \tag{2.27} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{cinfinito1}}$$

For the reversed inequality, consider a $(PS)_{c_\infty}$ sequence (u_n) for I_∞. Since $c_\infty > 0$, we can assume that $u_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, by Proposition 2.13, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique $t_n > 0$ such that $t_n u_n \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_n^{p(x)} \left(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}\right) = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_n^{q(x)}|u_n|^{q(x)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_n^{p^*(x)}|u_n|^{p^*(x)}. \tag{2.28} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{N4}}$$

From (2.28), we see that $t_n \not\to 0$. In fact, if $t_n \to 0$, we can assume $t_n < 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so, from (2.28),

$$t_n^{p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}) \leq \mu t_n^{q_-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q(x)} + t_n^{p^*_-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*(x)}.$$

Since $t_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}) \leq \mu t_n^{q_- - p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q(x)} + t_n^{p^*_- - p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*(x)}. \tag{2.29} \qquad \boxed{\texttt{N5}}$$

The boundedness of (u_n) in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ together with Sobolev embedding yields (u_n) is bounded in $L^{q(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^{p^*(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, from (2.29)

$$u_n \to 0 \;\text{ in }\; W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

leading to $I(u_n) \to I(0) = 0$, which is a contradiction, because $I(u_n) \to c_\infty > 0$. Analogously, from (2.28), we conclude (t_n) is bounded. Indeed, if there exists a subsequence of (t_n), still denote by itself, satisfying $t_n \to \infty$, we can assume $t_n > 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so, from (2.28),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}) \geq t_n^{p^*_- - p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*(x)}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{t_n^{p_-^*-p_+}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}+V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}\geq\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p^*(x)},$$

and so,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p^*(x)}\,dx\to 0. \qquad (2.30) \quad \boxed{\texttt{E1}}$$

By interpolation [1, Lemma 4.1] ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{q(x)}\to 0. \qquad (2.31) \quad \boxed{\texttt{E2}}$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}+V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)})=\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{q(x)}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p^*(x)}+o_n(1), \quad (2.32) \quad \boxed{\texttt{N6}}$$

the limits (2.30) and (2.31) combined with (2.32) give

$$u_n\to 0 \text{ in } W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

implying that $I_\infty(u_n)\to 0$, which is a contradiction, because $I_\infty(u_n)\to c_\infty>0$. Then, (t_n) is bounded and, up to a subsequence, there exists $t_0\in(0,\infty)$ such that $t_n\to t_0$. Our goal is to prove that $t_0=1$, because if it is true, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\infty &\leq I_\infty(t_nu_n)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla t_nu_n|^{p(x)}+V(x)|t_nu_n|^{p(x)}\right)\ -\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{q(x)}|t_nu_n|^{q(x)}\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p^*(x)}|t_nu_n|^{p^*(x)}\\
&\leq I_\infty(u_n)+a(n)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}+V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}\right)\\
&\quad-\mu b(n)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{q(x)}|u_n|^{q(x)}-c(n)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p^*(x)}|u_n|^{p^*(x)}\\
&=c_\infty+o_n(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a(n)=\max\{t_n^{p_+},t_n^{p_-}\}-1,\quad b(n)=\min\{t_n^{q_+},t_n^{q_-}\}-1,$$

and

$$c(n) = \min\left\{ t_n^{p_+^*}, t_n^{p_-^*} \right\} - 1.$$

Hence, taking the limit of $n \to +\infty$, we obtain

$$J_\infty \leq c_\infty. \tag{2.33}$$

`cinfinito2`

From (2.27) and (2.33) , it follows that $c_\infty = J_\infty$.

In what follows, we will show that $t_0 = 1$. In fact, if $t_0 > 1$, we can assume without lost of generality that $t_n > 1$, $\forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by (2.28),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}) \geq \mu t_n^{q_- - p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q(x)} + t_n^{p_-^* - p_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*(x)}. \tag{2.34}$$

`N7`

From (2.32) and (2.34),

$$0 \geq \mu \left(t_n^{q_- - p_+} - 1 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q(x)} + \left(t_n^{p_-^* - p_+} - 1 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*(x)} + o_n(1).$$

So,

$$0 \geq \mu \left(t_0^{q_- - p_+} - 1 \right) L_1 + \left(t_0^{p_-^* - p_+} - 1 \right) L_2,$$

where

$$0 \leq L_1 = \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q(x)} \text{ and } 0 < L_2 = \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*(x)}.$$

Once that $t_0 > 1$,

$$\mu \left(t_0^{q_- - p_+} - 1 \right) L_1 + \left(t_0^{p_-^* - p_+} - 1 \right) L_2 > 0,$$

which is an absurd. The case $t_0 < 1$ can be studied of the same way. Thereby, $t_0 = 1$. ■

As an immediate consequence of the last result, we have the corollary below

Corollary 2.15 *The mountain pass level c_∞ also satisfies*

$$c_\infty = \inf_{\substack{u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ u \neq 0}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_\infty(tu).$$

The proof of next corollary follows the ideas explored in [28] and its proof we be omitted.

`min is gstate` **Corollary 2.16** *If* $u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$ *and* $I_\infty(u) = J_\infty$*, then* u *is a ground state solution.*

The next corollary ensures that the solution u_∞ found is a ground state solution. As consequence, u_∞ has a well defined sign.

Corollary 2.17 *Let* (u_n) *be a* $(PS)_{c_\infty}$ *sequence for* I_∞ *and* $u \in W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$ *such that* $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ *in* $W^{1,p(x)}\big(\mathbb{R}^N\big)$*. Then, if* $u \neq 0$*, we have the equality*

$$I_\infty(u) = J_\infty.$$

Proof. Once that we are assuming that $u \neq 0$, the Lemma 2.6 implies that $u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$. Therefore,

$$I_\infty(u) \geq J_\infty.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.14,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\infty = c_\infty &= I_\infty(u_n) - \frac{1}{p_+} I'_\infty(u_n)u_n + o_n(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_+}\right)\left(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}\right) \\ &\quad + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{q(x)}\right)|u_n|^{q(x)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{p^*(x)}\right)|u_n|^{p^*(x)} + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\infty \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_+}\right)\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u|^{p(x)}\right) + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{q(x)}\right)|u|^{q(x)} \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{p^*(x)}\right)|u|^{p^*(x)} = I_\infty(u) - \frac{1}{p_+} I'_\infty(u)u = I_\infty(u), \end{aligned}$$

from where it follows that $I_\infty(u) = J_\infty$. ■

Now, to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1, we observe that

$$I_\infty(-v) = I_\infty(v) \text{ and } I'_\infty(-v) = -I'_\infty(v) \ \forall v \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which ensures that u_∞ can be chosen nonnegative.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will show that the functional $I : W^{1,p(x)+\sigma(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(p(x)+\sigma(x))} \left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)} + (V(x)-W(x))|u|^{p(x)+\sigma(x)}\right) - \Psi(u) - J(u)$$

where

$$\Psi(u) = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(q(x)-\tau(x))}|u|^{q(x)-\tau(x)} \text{ and } J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p^*(x)}|u|^{p^*(x)},$$

has a ground state solution for μ large enough. Hereafter, we denote by $r(x), s(x)$ and $U(x)$ the functions

$$r(x) = p(x)+\sigma(x), \ \ s(x) = q(x)-\tau(x) \text{ and } U(x) = V(x)-W(x) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence, we can rewrite the functional I of the following way

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{r(x)} \left(|\nabla u|^{r(x)} + U(x)|u|^{r(x)}\right) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{s(x)}|u|^{s(x)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p^*(x)}|u|^{p^*(x)}$$

for all $u \in W^{1,r(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Using well known arguments, it follows that $I \in C^1(W^{1,r(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$I'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{r(x)-2}\nabla u \nabla v + U(x)|u|^{r(x)-2}uv) - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{s(x)-2}uv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*(x)-2}uv,$$

for all $u, v \in W^{1,r(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, we also have that I verifies the mountain pass geometry, this way, there is $(u_n) \subset W^{1,r(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$I(u_n) \to c \text{ and } I'(u_n) \to 0$$

where c is the mountain pass level associated with I. Using the same arguments explored in the proof of Lemma 2.6 and Corollary 2.8, there is $\tilde{\mu} > 0$ such that, for $\mu \geq \tilde{\mu}$,

$$u_n \to u \text{ in } W^{1,r(x)}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \qquad (3.35) \quad \boxed{\texttt{Limite1}}$$

where $u \in W^{1,r(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the weak limit of (u_n), and so,

$$u_n \to u \text{ in } W^{1,p(x)}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N). \qquad (3.36) \quad \boxed{\texttt{Limite2}}$$

The limit (3.35) leads to

$$I'(u) = 0,$$

showing that u is a solution for (P). If $u \neq 0$, the same ideas used in the previous section give $I(u) = c$, implying that u is a ground state solution for (P). Now, if $u = 0$, the above limits yield

$$I_\infty(u_n) \to c \text{ and } I'_\infty(u_n) \to 0.$$

The arguments used in the proof of Proposition 2.14 works to show that

$$c \geq c_\infty. \tag{3.37}$$ ESTM1

Fixing $\mu \geq \max\{\mu_\infty, \tilde{\mu}\} = \mu^*$, we know that functional I_∞ has a nonnegative ground state solution $w \in W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is,

$$I_\infty(w) = c_\infty \text{ and } I'_\infty(w) = 0.$$

Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $x_n = (n, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$w_n(x) = w(x + x_n) \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Using Moser iteration method [24] (see also [5, 22]), there is $C > 0$ such that

$$|w_n|_{L^\infty(B_{R_2}(z))} \leq C|w|_{L^{m^*}(B_{R_1}(z+x_n))} \text{ for } R < R_2 < R_1 \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Once that $w \in L^{p^*(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $p^*(x) = m^*$ in $B_{R_1}(z + x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce

$$|w|_{L^{m^*}(B_{R_1}(z+x_n))} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Then,

$$|w_n|_{L^\infty(B_{R_2}(z))} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

The above limit implies that

$$|\nabla w_n|_{L^\infty(B_{R_2}(z))} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty \ (\textit{see}\,[6,\ 7,\ 17]).$$

From this, we fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|w_n(x)|, |\nabla w_n(x)| \leq 1 \ \forall x \in B_R(z).$$

Now, a simple computation gives

$$I'(w_n)w_n \leq I'_\infty(w_n)w_n = I'_\infty(w)w = 0.$$

Thus, there is $t_n \in (0,1]$ such that

$$I(t_n w_n) = \max_{t\geq 0} I(tw_n) \text{ and } I(t_n w_n) \geq c.$$

Using the definition of w_n together with the fact that $t_n \in (0,1]$, we have that

$$c \leq I(t_n w_n) \leq I_\infty(t_n w_n) \leq I_\infty(w) = c_\infty. \tag{3.38}$$

ESTM2

Combining (3.37) and (3.38), it follows that

$$c = I(t_n w_n).$$

Since

$$t_n w_n \in \mathcal{N} = \{u \in W^{1,r(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus 0\,;\, I'(u)u = 0\},$$

the same arguments used in the proof of Corollary 2.16 can be used to prove that $t_n w_n$ is a ground state solution for I, finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

References

[1] C.O. Alves, Existence of radial solutions for a class of $p(x)$-Laplacian equations with critical growth, *Diff. Integral Eq.* **23** (2010), 113-123.

[2] C.O. Alves, Existence of Solutions for a degenerate $p(x)$-Laplacian Equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **345** (2008) 731-742.

[3] C.O. Alves & M.A.S. Souto, Existence of solutions for a class of problems in $\mathbb{R}^N$ involving $p(x)$-Laplacian, *Prog. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **66** (2005), 17-32.

[4] C.O. Alves, P.C. Carrião, & O.H. Miyagaki, Nonlinear perturbations of a periodic elliptic problem with critical growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **260** (2001), 133-146.

[5] C.O. Alves & G.M. Figueiredo, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to a p-Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Diff. Integral Eq.* **19** (2006), 143-162

[6] E. Acerbi & G. Mingione, Regularity results for electrorheological fluids: stationary case, *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **334** (2002), 817-822.

[7] E. Acerbi & G. Mingione, Regularity results for stationary electrorheological fluids, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **164** (2002), 213-259.

[8] S.N. Antontsev & J.F. Rodrigues, On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara, Sez., VII Sc. Mat.* **52** (2006), 19-36.

[9] S.N. Antontsev & S.I. Shmarev, Elliptic equations and systems with nonstandard growth conditions: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions, *Nonl. Anal. T.M.A* **65** (2006), 722-755.

[10] S.N. Antontsev & S.I. Shmarev, On localization of solutions of elliptic equations with nonhomogeneous anisotropic degeneracy, *Siberian Math. Journal* **46** (2005), 765-782.

[11] A. Chambolle & P.L. Lions, Image recovery via total variation minimization and related problems, *Numer. Math.* **76** (1997), 167-188.

[12] Y. Chen, S. Levine & M. Rao. Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66** (2006), 1383-1406.

[13] Y. Fu & X. Zhang, Multiple solutions for a class of $p(x)$-laplacian equations in involving the critical exponent, *Proceedings Roy. Soc. of Edinburgh Sect A* **466** (2010) 1667-1686.

[14] X. Fan, Y. Zhao & D. Zhao, Compact embedding theorems with symmetry of Strauss-Lions type for the space $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **255** (2001), 333-348.

[15] X. Fan & D. Zhao, On the Spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), 424-446.

[16] X. Fan, $p(x)$-Laplacian equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with periodic data and nonperiodic perturbations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **341** (2008), 103-119.

[17] X.L. Fan, Global $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for variable exponent elliptic equations in divergence form, *J. Differential Equations* **235** (2007) 397417.

[18] X.L. Fan, J.S. Shen & D. Zhao, *Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces* $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **262** (2001) 749-760.

[19] X. Fan & X.Y. Han, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Nonlinear Anal.* **59** (2004) 173-188.

[20] A. Kristály, V. Radulescu & C. Varga, Variational Principles in Mathematical Physics, Geometry, and Economics: Qualitative Analysis of Nonlinear Equations and Unilateral Problems, in: Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 136, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[21] M. Mihailescu & V. Radulescu, A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids, *Proc. R. Soc. Ser. A* **462** (2006) 2625-2641.

[22] Li Gongbao, Some properties of weak solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations, *Annales Acad. Sci. Fenincae, series A* **14** (1989), 27-36.

[23] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compacteness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, Part II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire* **1** (1984) 223-283.

[24] J. Moser, A new proof of Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations, *Comm. Pure Apll. Math.* **13** (1960), 457-468.

[25] J. Musielak, Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983

[26] M. Ruzicka, Electrorheological fluids: Modeling and mathematical theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[27] O. Kovacik & J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **41** (1991), 592-618.

[28] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 1996.